



Tomas Nordfjell, SLU Sweden







The total forest area in Europe has **increased** with more than 36 million ha since year 1980

As much as 22% of the forests in Sweden are dominated of trees with a diameter below 14 cm, and the situation is similar in most forest countries











Many technical solutions have been tested with the aim to mechanize whole tree thinnings of young dense stands



















Research has proven that some kind of harvester is a suitable base-machine for this work





Research has proven that the cutting technique itself must be extremely fast.









Research has also proven that the technique must be able to handle many small trees at a time







New studies in this area are done in an ongoing international research project named SMALLWOOD.

SMALLWOOD proj SMALL





New studies in this area are done in an ongoing international research project named SMALLWOOD.

Previous results have been used for a technical upgrading of the technique and for using the most efficient work-method





SMALL WOOD Forest And, this is the work method for this kind of thinning **Boom corridor** thinning



SMALL Net WOOD Forest And, this is the work method for this kind of thinning **Boom corridor** thinning

Boom corridors



SMALL WOOD Forest And, this is the work method for this kind of thinning **Boom corridor** thinning

Boom corridors

Ordinary striproads







Thinnings of whole trees in young dense stands







Network of knowledge for efficient private forests















Summary: Results for stands with 8-13 cm Dbh and

a thinning removal of 30-55 dry tons biomass/ha (about 60-110 m³ solid biomass/ha)

The selective reference work method:

4.0 - 5.5 dry tons biomass/productive machine hour





Summary: Results for stands with 8-13 cm dbh and

a thinning removal of 30-55 dry tons biomass/ha (about 60-110 m³ solid biomass/ha)

<u>The selective reference work method</u>: 4.0 - 5.5 dry tons biomass/productive machine hour

<u>The Boom-corridor work method</u>: 4.5 – 6.5 dry tons biomass/productive machine hour





The future then?

Next step is to also compress and buck when harvesting to make the extraction and truck transportation more efficient.

This has also been done on a research scale.













Without feed rollers







Without feed rollers



With feed rollers







Without feed rollers



With feed rollers



20% larger load, and the material is also more easy to handle



Conclusions about the system

The productivity is high enough for good economy if, and only if, there is a stable and long-lasting demand on the market for this assortment (bioenergy, and in the future most likely biochemical products)





SMALLWOOD project partners



	Partner	Country	Respective funding organization	Contact person
SLU	Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU)	Sweden	Vinnova/Formas/SWEA	Prof.dr. Tomas Nordfjell
	Universidad Politécnica de Madrid (UPM)	Spain	ES/MINECO-AEI	Prof.dr. Eduardo Tolosana
GOZDARSKI INTITUT SLOVENUE	Slovenian Forest Institute (SFI)	Slovenia	SI/MIZS	Dr. Nike Krajnc
UNIVERSITY OF EASTERN FINLAND	University of eastern Finland, School of Forest Sciences (UEF)	Finland	FI/MMM and FI/AKA	Prof.dr Teppo Hujala
University of Maribor Faculty of Economics and Business	Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Maribor (FEB)	Slovenia	SI/MIZS	Prof.dr. Zdenka Ženko
Bracke	Bracke Forest	Sweden	Vinnova/Formas/SWEA	CEO Klas-Håkan Ljungberg

SMALLWOOD, a ForestValue ERA-NET



SMALLWOOD is supported under the umbrella of ERA-NET Cofund ForestValue by:

Formas, Swedish Energy Agency, Vinnova,

Academy of Finland,

Ministry of Education, Science and Sport (MIZS),

Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness (MINECO). ForestValue has received funding from the **European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme** under grant agreement N° 773324.



Smallwood website:

http://www.smallwood.eu/



The End of this presentation

Tomas Nordfjell Professor Forest Technology SLU Umeå, Sweden

More about project results:

http://www.smallwood.eu/





Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union





Tomas Nordfjell, SLU Sweden







This presentation is about an Excel tool for calculation of the average ground pressure on forwarders.







The average ground pressure on a forest machine is the weight of the loaded machine divided with the total surface area of the wheels or tracks on the ground.

This calculation model is based on rough assumptions, but is nevertheless a useful tool for gaining insight into a forest vehicle's impact on the ground







The model calculates separately for the front and rear section of a vehicle.

The difference in ground pressure between the front and rear section is quite large for a loaded forwarder.







Pressure is the force per unit area. The force consists of the mass of a forwarder and its load, and how this mass is distributed on the front and rear section of the vehicle.

The surface is the tire surface that contacts the ground. The size of that surface depends on how much the tire sinks into the ground. This model assumes a fairly large sinkage that corresponds to 15% of the tire's diameter.





A calculation example for a standard forwarder !





		Tł	nose are
		th	ne variables
Forwarder without tracks - Calculation of average	e ground pressu	r <mark>e</mark> to	o fill in !
Variable	Own choice	Unit	
Kerb weight without load		tons	
Proportion of kerb weight on front section		%	
Proportion of load on front section		%	
Maximum load capacity		tons	
Number of tires on front section		n	
Width on tires front section		cm	
Diameter on tires front section		cm	
Number of tires on rear section		n	
Width on tires rear section		cm	
Diameter on tires rear section		cm	





Forwarder without tracks - Calculation of average ground pressure				
Variable	Own choice	Unit		
Kerb weight without load	20	tons		
Proportion of kerb weight on front section	60	%		
Proportion of load on front section	10	%		
Maximum load capacity	16	tons		
Number of tires on front section	4	n		
Width on tires front section	75	cm		
Diameter on tires front section	120	cm		
Number of tires on rear section	4	n		
Width on tires rear section	75	cm		
Diameter on tires rear section	120	cm		



SLU



Forest





efficient private forests





This was an example on an existing forwarder. The tool has also a separate sheet for machines with tracks.

The tool can also be used to evaluate the effect of possible technical changes.







This was an example on an existing forwarder. The tool has also a separate sheet for machines with tracks.

The tool can also be used to evaluate the effect of possible technical changes.

Let us see how the situation will change if it was possible to distribute a larger proportion of the load to the front part of the forwarder?





Forwarder without tracks - Calculation of average ground pressure				
	in or average gro		•	
Variable		Own choice	Unit	
Kerb weight without load		20	tons	
Proportion of kerb weight on front section		60	%	
Proportion of load on front section	Change to 40%	-10	%	
Maximum load capacity		16	tons	
Number of tires on front section		4	n	
Width on tires front section		75	cm	
Diameter on tires front section		120	cm	
Number of tires on rear section		4	n	
Width on tires rear section		75	cm	
Diameter on tires rear section		120	cm	





When fully loaded, 122 kPa on rear section, and 75 kPa on front section

In this case almost the same value for both rear and front section! 100 kPa on rear section, and 95 kPa on front section



Tool for calculating the ground pressure of a forest vehicle's:

https://www.slu.se/globalassets/ew/org/inst/sbt/forskning /net4forest/ground-pressure-forwarder-2021-05-04.xlsx



Thank you

Tomas Nordfjell Professor Forest Technology SLU Umeå, Sweden

Department pages:

https://www.slu.se/en/departments/forestbiomaterials-technology/research/ongoingprojects/net4forest/

https://www.slu.se/institutioner/skogensbiomaterialteknologi/forskning_in/forskningsprojekt-vidsbt/net4forest/





